

Software Process Improvement in Geant4

Gabriele Cosmo
CERN IT-API/SI
Gabriele.Cosmo@cern.ch

Outline

- ◆ The Geant4 Project
- ◆ Software Processes
- ◆ Improvement Strategy
- ◆ The SPICE ISO/IEC-15504-5 model
- ◆ Applicability to Geant4
- ◆ The Geant4 approach
- ◆ Conclusions

The Geant4 Project

- ◆ Started as CERN R&D project in December 1994
 - ◆ **Aim:** realize a software toolkit for Simulation in HEP based on modern Object-Oriented Software Engineering techniques and methodologies, Programming Languages and International Standards.
- ◆ Evolution:
 - ◆ April 1997: first *alpha* release (R&D)
 - ◆ July 1998: first *beta* release (R&D)
 - ◆ December 1998: first public *Production* release

The Geant4 Project - organization

- ◆ A world-wide Collaboration
 - ◆ Collaboration of more than 100 scientists from over 40 Institutions and Laboratories, participating in more than 10 experiments world-wide
 - ◆ Applications ranges from HEP to low-energy and nuclear Physics, Astrophysics, Medical application.
 - ◆ A MoU (Memorandum of Understanding) defines all terms of the Collaboration.

The Geant4 Project - distributed development

- ◆ More than 1200 classes distributed in 17 Categories (Software components in the Booch terminology)
- ◆ Hierarchical structure of complex Categories
- ◆ Development teams organized according to domain Category definition, from the design Category diagram
- ◆ Centralized coordination of domain Categories
 - ◆ domain decomposition \leftrightarrow geographical location
 - ◆ assignment of responsibilities and Support
- ◆ Distributed resources and funds
- ◆ Need for: homogeneous computing environment, methods and tools

Software Processes

- ◆ *Primary Life Cycle*
- ◆ *Supporting Life Cycle*
- ◆ *Management Processes*
- ◆ *Organizational Life Cycle*
- ◆ *User-supplier Processes*

- ◆ **Development**
 - ◆ System Requirements Analysis and Design
 - ◆ Software Design
 - ◆ Software Construction
 - ◆ Software Integration

- ◆ Documentation
- ◆ Configuration Management
- ◆ Quality Assurance
- ◆ Testing
- ◆ Verification & Validation
- ◆ Joint Review
- ◆ Problem Resolution
- ◆ Project tasks Management
- ◆ Risk Management
- ◆ Improvement Process
- ◆ Process Establishment
- ◆ Human resource Management
- ◆ Infrastructure
- ◆ User Support, Distribution

Software Processes - elements for Improvement

- ◆ Process establishment
 - ◆ Identify current roles and responsibilities
 - ◆ Assess currently performed Processes
 - ◆ Agree on a strategy for changing/tailoring Processes
- ◆ Process improvement
 - ◆ Identify purposes, goals and priorities
 - ◆ Define measures to quantify impact of improvement
- ◆ Process assessment
 - ◆ See next slide...

Software Processes - process assessment

- ◆ Define an assessment method
- ◆ Identify the scope of the assessment
- ◆ Plan the assessment for each individual component
- ◆ Validate the retrieved information
- ◆ Identify strong and weak areas
- ◆ Archive and version the results
- ◆ Identify priorities for improvement from the final assessment's ratings

SPICE ISO/IEC-15504-5

Improvement Strategy

- ◆ Adopt well defined process models to address Software Process issues: SPICE, CMM, ...
- ◆ Do not focus only on technical issues !
- ◆ Software Development: *a knowledge intensive industry*
 - ◆ Quality of products embedded in the knowledge of the staff
 - ◆ Direct relation between:
 - ◆ Quality of products
 - ◆ Processes producing them
 - ◆ People performing processes

Improvement Strategy - goals

◆ **Process Effectiveness**

- ◆ activities performed in the Process are adequate to produce the desired results (Process compliance, flexibility)

◆ **Process Stability**

- ◆ reduce performance variation, to allow a Process to behave in a predictable way (Process control, support, training)

◆ **Process Efficiency**

- ◆ optimize the amount of resources needed to achieve the required outcomes (Process improvement, automation)

◆ **Process Capability**

- ◆ produce predictable results in a predictable manner (Process maturity, organizational alignment)

The SPICE ISO/IEC-15504-5 model

- ◆ Since 1993, SPICE (Software Process Improvement and Capability dEtermination) developed a standard framework for Software Process assessment within ISO (International Organization for Standardization)
- ◆ It proposes 6 levels of maturity (*capability levels*) from “Incomplete” to “Optimizing”:
 - ◆ Each level characterizes the level of understanding and control that the Process is being carried out
 - ◆ It represents a set of *co-working* attributes providing a major enhancement of capability in the performance of a Process
 - ◆ Levels: *Incomplete, Performed, Managed, Established, Predictable, Optimizing*

Organizational alignment

- ◆ Use de-facto standard certified channels for software Improvement
- ◆ Consult external projects and organizations to learn strengths and weaknesses of adopted solutions for software development
- ◆ Allow adoption of key software technologies aligned with tools and products available in the organization
- ◆ Promote training and innovation in software technology

Applicability to Geant4

- ◆ Last Software Process assessment applied to the Geant4 project: October 1998 (SPICE model)
- ◆ Need to understand and determine applicable procedures to software development and maintenance in the “production” phase of the software product
- ◆ Complexity factors
 - ◆ Different applicability levels for different Category domains
 - ◆ Distributed development teams and resources
 - ◆ Complex coordination and control for *support* activities
 - ◆ Dynamic environment
 - ◆ Limited manpower

The Geant4 approach

- ◆ Consider Process Improvement as a gradual process
 - ◆ Identify the key areas needing Improvement (level 3)
 - ◆ Avoid too much formality: weaknesses also identified through experience in the organization
 - ◆ Allow for a continuous Improvement, life-cycle driven
- ◆ (Chosen) Domains of applicability in Geant4:
 - ◆ Q/A & Optimization activity
 - ◆ applied to the software product in either global and component domain related context
 - ◆ Analysis & Design software cycle
 - ◆ identify the well established OOP procedure for development and maintenance
 - ◆ Testing
 - ◆ assure constant improvement and continuity to system testing

The Geant4 approach - Q/A & Optimization

- ◆ By adoption of specialized tools and scripts:
 - ◆ Monitoring of dynamic memory allocation applied to test-bed applications
 - ◆ Performance monitoring and profiling
 - ◆ Source code filtering for conventions and coding rules violations
 - ◆ Source code filtering for metrics analysis
 - ◆ Test coverage analysis on test-bed applications
- ◆ Deploy “global context” activity to a specialized team
 - ◆ not involved in development
 - ◆ in coordination with the System Testing team
 - ◆ based on mutual trust with developers and Coordinators
- ◆ Improve automation: integrate with tools for testing

The Geant4 approach - Analysis & Design cycle

Goal: *guarantee that the code quality will not degrade with time. Assure a coherent development where coupling will not increase with the complexity of the software*

- ◆ Periodically review the global category diagram
 - ◆ check for violations/changes and additions
- ◆ Actions to be performed by Category Coordinators
 - ◆ periodically review URD, possibly starting from “use cases”
 - ◆ review/identify areas where A&D software cycle need to be applied
 - ◆ review consistency of code with design
 - ◆ supervise Category activity and organize training
- ◆ Collect architectural/detailed design and URD documents and define a clear procedure for maintenance and update

The Geant4 approach - System Testing

- ◆ Improvement of system and validation tests
 - ◆ establish clear responsibility for maintenance and integration of tests in the normal development process
 - ◆ review and properly document tests; check correspondence with URD and use-cases
 - ◆ adopt/improve regression and statistical tests
- ◆ Automation
 - ◆ adoption of *Bonsai* to automate testing activity and CVS tags submission through Web
 - ◆ adoption of *LXR* for online browsing of code through Web
 - ◆ adoption of *Tinderbox* to allow developers and testers to monitor progress of system tests and allow distributed control
 - ◆ integrate Q/A automation to provide developers a way to perform basic Q/A checks on code before submitting to test

Conclusions

- ◆ Geant4: a challenging project where to apply a Software Process Improvement (SPI) program
- ① Use experience and expertise to identify the correct actions to apply for SPI
- ② Identify the key-areas/domains where SPI needs to be applied: through a de-facto standard assessment model (SPICE ISO/IEC 15504-5)
- ③ Keep in mind goals of SPI and don't focus only on technical issues